## **Original Article**

Access this article online



Website: www.joas.org.in

10.4103/JOASP.JOASP\_7\_21

# Ulnar nerve injury post Cubitus varus correction by lateral closing wedge osteotomy: Lessons learnt

Nikhil Challawar, Shubham Siddheshwar Zade, Vishwesh D. Chudasama

#### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** Supracondylar fractures of the humerus are the most common elbow injuries in skeletally immature children between 5 and 15 years of age. Managed conservatively or treated inadequately these fractures result in malunion-producing Cubitus varus deformity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** We retrospectively studied a 9-year-old male patient who presented to us with cubitus varus deformity owing to the conservative management of previous Supracondylar humerus fracture. Patient was operated by Lateral closing wedge osteotomy. Pre operative and post operative assessment was done clinically and with the help of radiographs.

**RESULTS:** Patient developed ulnar nerve palsy owing to the overcorrection of deformity which ultimately required ulnar nerve release and anterior transposition. Complete functional recovery was achieved 6 months post index surgery.

**CONCLUSION:** Lateral closing wedge osteotomy provides an effective and relatively simple way for correcting cubitus varus deformity yet can result in ulnar nerve palsy in the event of overcorrection. **Keywords:** 

Anterior ulnar nerve transposition, Cubitus varus, lateral closing wedge osteotomy, ulnar neuropraxia

## Introduction

Elbow injuries are considerably common in skeletally immature children between 5 and 15 years of age.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Metaphyseal area of distal end humerus is the weakest area around the elbow joint and hence supracondylar fractures of humerus are the most common injuries around the elbow joint.<sup>[3]</sup>

Cubitus varus deformity is a threedimensional deformity with varus, extension, and internal rotation of the distal humeral fragment.<sup>[4]</sup> Earlier Cubitus varus was considered a cosmetic deformity with no functional impairment.<sup>[5]</sup> Although the main presentation of the deformity is due to the unsightly appearance of the limb, Cubitus varus is now considered a factor for long-term functional impairment

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer. com

such as chronic pain, ulnar nerve palsy,<sup>[6,7]</sup> tardy posterolateral rotatory instability,<sup>[8]</sup> snapping elbow,<sup>[9]</sup> and an increased risk of lateral condyle prominence.<sup>[10,11]</sup> Moreover, the concept that the deformity can remodel with time has changed.<sup>[3]</sup>

The deformity can develop due to plethora of factors of which neglected or undertreated supracondylar fractures of the humerus are the most common ones rest being growth disturbances, and osteonecrosis of the medial humeral condyle with or without growth arrest.<sup>[12]</sup>

Treatment options include the following:

- 1. Observation with expectant remodeling varus deformity not being in the plane of motion of elbow joint has very little potential for remodeling and hence this treatment modality is not recommended.
- 2. Corrective osteotomies—considered as a standard treatment.

**How to cite this article:** Challawar N, Zade SS, Chudasama VD. Ulnar nerve injury post Cubitus varus correction by lateral closing wedge osteotomy: Lessons learnt. J Orthop Spine 2022;10:19-23.

Department of Orthopaedics, Dr. Vasantrao Pawar Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Nashik, Maharashtra, India

## Address for

correspondence: Dr. Shubham Siddheshwar Zade, Department of Orthopaedics, Dr. Vasantrao Pawar Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Adgaon, Nashik 422003, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: shubhamz05123@ gmail.com

Received: 07 February 2021 Accepted in revised form: 17 August 2022 Published: 24 November 2022

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.



Figure 1: (A) Index trauma radiograph suggestive of supracondylar fracture of humerus. (B) Radiograph showing mal united supracondylar fracture humerus 9 months post index trauma. (C) Intraoperative C-ARM image



Figure 2: (A and B) Hyperextension of elbow with limited flexion

Options include the following:

- Medial open wedge osteotomy that causes lengthening of medial aspect of humerus causing stretching of ulnar nerve.<sup>[13]</sup>
- Lateral closing wedge (LCW) osteotomy with or without simultaneous correction of rotation, also known as French osteotomy.<sup>[14]</sup>
- Step cut osteotomy.
- Arch osteotomy.<sup>[15]</sup>
- Penta lateral osteotomy.<sup>[16]</sup>
- Dome osteotomy.<sup>[17]</sup>

## **Materials and Methods**

This was a retrospective study wherein a single male patient of 9 years and 0 months with right-hand dominance presented with left Cubitus varus deformity. It was first noticed by the parents of the patient with prior history of trauma which was managed nonoperatively by an above elbow cast 9 months ago [Figure 1].

Preoperative assessment of the patient included standard anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of affected elbow [Figure 1]. Neurology, range of movement [Figure 2], and carrying angle [Figure 3] were assessed clinically.

The patient was operated on by LCW osteotomy because of its ease, simplicity, and proven functional outcomes. Size of the wedge was 1.5 cm and fixation was done with two lateral entry divergent k wires.

## **Results**

The patient developed ulnar nerve palsy with sensorymotor involvement in the immediate postoperative period. Injury was not due to hardware as due precautions were taken. It was suspected that nerve injury is neuropraxia secondary to overstretching of nerve. The patient was advised electromyography-nerve conduction velocity (EMG-NCV) study at 3 weeks postoperatively; however, due to noncompliance it was performed 3 months postsurgery owing to persistent complaints.



Figure 3: Preoperative carrying angle: right side 7.50° and left side -15.69°

EMG-NCV study at 3 months was suggestive of severe left ulnar sensorimotor axonal mononeuropathy. The patient was advised a second surgery for nerve exploration that was done 3 months post-surgery wherein ulnar nerve was released at the level of elbow joint behind medial humeral condyle and was transposed anteriorly [Figure 4]. Complete functional recovery was achieved 6 months post index surgery.

Osteotomy had united uneventfully at 6 weeks [Figure 5] and *k* wires were removed at 6 weeks post-surgery with initiation of range of motion exercises of elbow and wrist. The correction we achieved was of  $37.82^{\circ}$  (preoperative 15.69° varus [Figure 3]; postoperative 23.13° valgus [Figure 6]).



Figure 5: Three-month postoperative radiograph showing completely united osteotomy



Figure 4: Marked arrow showing atrophied ulnar nerve below medial condyle, which was subsequently transposed anteriorly



Figure 6: Postoperative carrying angle: right side 7.50° and left side 22.13° (overcorrection)

	Preoperative	Postoperative
Carrying angle	–15.69°	23.13°
Range of motion	-15°-110° (patient had hyperextension)	0°-130°

Lateral condyle prominence was noted; however, we did not encounter pin tract infection, loss of fixation, stiffness, or unsightly scarring. Outcome of the procedure in this patient is considered as poor in the early postoperative period as per the following mentioned criteria put forth by Solfelt *et al.*<sup>[18]</sup>

Major complications were defined as residual varus deformity, nerve injury, infection, loss of fixation leading to reoperation, and growth arrest.

Minor complications included loss of fixation not leading to varus deformity or reoperation, unsightly scarring,

Excellent	Good	Poor
Excellent Described as excellent - No recurrence of symptoms - Correction of the varus deformity	Good Described as good, satisfactory, fair. - Valgus correction or neutral but within 5° of the contralateral elbow. - Movement to within 10° of preoperative arc. - No major complications.	Poor Described as poor or unsatisfactory - Severe limitation in movement. - Significant pain. - Major perioperative
to within 5° of the contralateral elbow. - Movement to within 5° of the preoperative movement arc. - No major perioperative complications.		<ul> <li>complications.</li> <li>Residual varus or neutral position but greater than 5° from contralateral elbow.</li> <li>Loss of more than 10° of movement in any plane compared to contralateral elbow.</li> </ul>

stiffness, or a prominent lateral condyle. Pin tract Infection was considered as a major complication enough to downgrade a surgical outcome owing to the risk of deep or late infection.

## Discussion

The conditions were not ideal when this study was performed owing to the patient noncompliance. Preoperative planning for wedge resection was done by measuring the carrying angle clinically of both affected and unaffected extremities.<sup>[19]</sup>

All variants of corrective osteotomy have an associated complication of nerve injury among which LCW osteotomy has minimal association.<sup>[20]</sup> Ulnar nerve injuries are commonly encountered with the posterior approach and seldomly with the lateral approach<sup>[21]</sup> owing to which nerve injury was suspected due to over correction.

Management of a neuropathy in immediate postoperative period aims at a trial of expectant management for 3 weeks provided one is confident of meticulous precautions taken to avoid nerve injury. If injury is suspected to be of iatrogenic origin, immediate exploration is warranted. At 3 weeks if no improvement is seen, an EMG-NCV should be performed based on which surgical decision can be taken at 3 weeks.

## Conclusion

Lessons learned from this study are as follows:

- 1. LCW is a proven established method of Cubitus varus correction. Nerve injury is very rarely reported with this approach. Ulnar nerve palsy can occur in this approach with overcorrection. Hence the wedge taken should not be overestimated.
- 2. In case of larger wedge resection, it would be prudent to do a prophylactic anterior transposition of ulnar nerve.

### **Declaration of patient consent**

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient(s) has/ have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

## **Financial support and sponsorship** Not applicable.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Henrikson B. Supracondylar fracture of the humerus in children: A late review of end-results with special reference to the cause of deformity, disability and complications. Acta Chir Scand Suppl 1966;369:1-72.
- 2. Wilson PD. Fracture and dislocations in the region of the elbow. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1933;56:335-59.
- 3. Verka PS, Kejariwal U, Singh B. Management of Cubitus varus deformity in children by closed dome osteotomy J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11:RC08-12.
- Davids JR, Lamoreaux DC, Brooker RC, Tanner SL, Westberry DE. Translation step-cut osteotomy for the treatment of posttraumatic Cubitus varus. J Pediatr Orthop 2011;31:353-65.
- Davids JR, Lamoreaux DC, Brooker RC, Tanner SL, Westberry DE. Translation step-cut osteotomy for the treatment of posttraumatic Cubitus varus. J Pediatr Orthop 2011;31:353-65.
- Abe M, Ishizu T, Morikawa J. Posterolateral rotatory instability of the elbow after posttraumatic Cubitus varus. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1997;6:405-9.
- Mitsunari A, Muneshige H, Ikuta Y, Murakami T. Internal rotation deformity and tardy ulnar nerve palsy after supracondylar humeral fracture. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1995;4:23-9.
- 8. O'Driscoll SW, Spinner RJ, McKee MD, Kibler WB, Hastings H 2nd, Morrey BF, *et al.* Tardy posterolateral rotatory instability of the elbow due to Cubitus varus. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2001;83:1358-69.
- 9. Spinner RJ, Goldner RD. Snapping of the medial head of the triceps and recurrent dislocation of the ulnar nerve: Anatomical and dynamic factors. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998;80:239-47.
- Davids JR, Maguire MF, Mubarak SJ, Wenger DR. Lateral condylar fracture of the humerus following posttraumatic Cubitus varus. J Pediatr Orthop 1994;14:466-70.

- 11. Takahara M, Sasaki I, Kimura T, Kato H, Minami A, Ogino T. Second fracture of the distal humerus after varus malunion of a supracondylar fracture in children. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1998;80:791-7.
- Noonan KJ, Jones JW. Recurrent supracondylar humerus fracture following prior malunion. Iowa Orthop J 2001;21:8-12.
- King D, Secor C. Bow elbow (Cubitus varus). J Bone Joint Surg Am 1951;33-A:572-6.
- Devnani AS. Lateral closing wedge supracondylar osteotomy of humerus for post-traumatic Cubitus varus in children. Injury 1997;28:643-7.
- Matsushita T, Nagano A. Arc osteotomy of the humerus to correct Cubitus varus. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research® 1997;336:111-5.
- Laupattarakasem W, Mahaisavariya B, Kowsuwon W, Saengnipanthkul S. Pentalateral osteotomy for Cubitus varus: Clinical experiences of a new technique. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1989;71:667-70.
- Tachidjian M. Osteotomy of distal humerus for correction of Cubitus varus. Tachdjian's Paediatric Orthopaedics, 5th ed., Volume 1; 1972. p. 1290.
- Solfelt DA, Hill BW, Anderson CP, Cole PA. Supracondylar osteotomy for the treatment of Cubitus varus in children: A systematic review. Bone Joint J 2014;96-B:691-700.
- Terra BB, Silva BC, Carvalho HB, Dobashi ET, Pinto JA, Ishida A. Evolution of the carrying angle of the elbow: A clinical and radiographic study. Acta Ortopedica Brasileira 2011;19:79-82.
- 20. Solfelt DA, Hill BW, Anderson CP, Cole PA. Supracondylar osteotomy for the treatment of Cubitus varus in children: A systematic review. Bone Joint J 2014;96-B:691-700.
- 21. Raney EM, Thielen Z, Gregory S, Sobralske M. Complications of supracondylar osteotomies for Cubitus varus. J Pediatr Orthop 2012;32:232-40.